

# Capital Development Priority Guidelines



<b>Initial Score (75% of Final Score)</b>																											
<b>Industry/Economic Demand (25% of Final Score)</b> <i>How the project fulfills Utah industry/economic demand.</i> <b>5 points (unweighted):</b> Majority of programs supported by project on High-Yield Award List ( <i>High Yield</i> ) <b>4 points:</b> Majority of programs supported by the project lead to jobs within GOEO's targeted industries ( <i>GOEO</i> ) and/or lead to jobs paying at or above the local or statewide average wage ( <i>Wage +</i> ) <b>3 points:</b> Majority of programs supported by the project lead to jobs of significant importance as evidenced by local employers ( <i>Locally Significant</i> ) <b>2 points:</b> Less than majority but a significant number of programs supported by the project are High Yield, GOEO, Wage +, and or Locally Significant <b>1 point:</b> Some programs supported by the project are High Yield, Wage +, GOEO, and or Locally Significant <b>0:</b> No evidence that project supports industry/economic demand																											
<b>Utilization (15% of Final Score)</b> <i>Utilization of existing space in the project's category(ies) based on the Board's Room Utilization Rate (RUR) standards.</i> <b>15 points:</b> >= 100% of RUR standard (0.5 points per additional 1% of RUR standard above 70%) <b>0 points:</b> <70% of RUR standard																											
<b>Space Need (15% of Final Score)</b> <i>How the project addresses an institution's existing space needs in the project's space category(ies).</i> Points allocated based on % of classroom, teaching lab, open lab, automotive/construction/and research lab space need that the project addresses																											
<b>Imminent Non-functionality (10% of Final Score)</b> <i>If the project addresses building conditions that have reached a level of imminent non-functionality on account of a catastrophic event or critical life safety, fire, or seismic deficiencies</i> 0 points for most projects; it is anticipated that points will be awarded in rare circumstances, based on consultation with DFCM																											
<b>Cost Effectiveness (5% of Final Score)</b> <i>Cost-effectiveness of the project based on the DFCM cost database (all projects must meet a standard of cost-effectiveness established in Board Policy R741, Threshold Requirements for Capital Development Project Requests)</i> <b>3 points (unweighted):</b> Cost per square foot for project type less than or equal to DFCM cost database average <b>2 points:</b> Cost per square foot for project type between 100% and 110% of DFCM cost database <b>1 point:</b> All other projects																											
<b>Alternative Funds (5% of Final Score)</b> <i>Share of project's costs supported by alternative funds (including value of land donations)</i> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">Research</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Regional</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Community/Tech</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>5 points:</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;">75% or more</td> <td style="text-align: center;">61% or more</td> <td style="text-align: center;">47% or more</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>4 points:</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;">50% - 74.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">41% - 60.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">32% - 46.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>3 points:</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;">30% - 49.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">25% - 40.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20% - 31.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>2 points:</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;">10% - 29.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9% - 12.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">8% - 10.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>1 point:</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;">5% - 9.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5% - 8.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3% - 7.9%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Research	Regional	Community/Tech	<b>5 points:</b>	75% or more	61% or more	47% or more	<b>4 points:</b>	50% - 74.9%	41% - 60.9%	32% - 46.9%	<b>3 points:</b>	30% - 49.9%	25% - 40.9%	20% - 31.9%	<b>2 points:</b>	10% - 29.9%	9% - 12.9%	8% - 10.9%	<b>1 point:</b>	5% - 9.9%	5% - 8.9%	3% - 7.9%
	Research	Regional	Community/Tech																								
<b>5 points:</b>	75% or more	61% or more	47% or more																								
<b>4 points:</b>	50% - 74.9%	41% - 60.9%	32% - 46.9%																								
<b>3 points:</b>	30% - 49.9%	25% - 40.9%	20% - 31.9%																								
<b>2 points:</b>	10% - 29.9%	9% - 12.9%	8% - 10.9%																								
<b>1 point:</b>	5% - 9.9%	5% - 8.9%	3% - 7.9%																								
<b>Board Assessment (25% of Final Score)</b> <b>The Board may award additional points if the weighted initial score exceeds 40 points.</b>  <b>Each Board member will submit an anonymous scoring sheet that assesses the degree to which the project advances each of the access, affordability, completion, and workforce alignment pillars of the Board's strategic plan.</b>  <b>4 points (unweighted):</b> Project will significantly advance pillar <b>3 points:</b> Project will moderately advance pillar <b>2 points:</b> Project will somewhat advance pillar <b>1 point:</b> Project will slightly advance pillar																											

